

1 James Q. Taylor-Copeland (284743)  
2 james@taylorcopelandlaw.com  
3 TAYLOR-COPELAND LAW  
4 501 W. Broadway, Suite 800  
5 San Diego, CA 92101  
6 Telephone: (619) 400-4944  
7 Facsimile: (619) 566-4341

Andrew J. Ceresney (pro hac vice)  
aceresney@debevoise.com  
DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP  
919 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone: (212) 909-6000  
Facsimile: (212) 909-6836

5 Marc M. Seltzer (54534)  
6 mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com  
7 Steven G. Sklaver (237612)  
8 ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com  
9 Oleg Elkhunovich (269238)  
10 oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com  
11 Krysta Kauble Pachman (280951)  
12 kpachman@susmangodfrey.com  
13 Nicholas N. Spear (304281)  
14 nspear@susmangodfrey.com  
15 SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
16 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 14th Floor  
17 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
18 Telephone: (310) 789-3100  
19 Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

Damien J. Marshall (*pro hac vice*)  
dmarshall@kslaw.com  
Andrew Michaelson (*pro hac vice*)  
amichaelson@kslaw.com  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

13 | *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack*

Suzanne E. Nero (284894)  
snero@kslaw.com  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
50 California Street, Suite 3300  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

*Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,  
XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

20 | In re RIPPLE LABS INC. LITIGATION,

Case No. 18-cv-06753-PJH

22 This Document Relates To:  
**ALL ACTIONS**

**JOINT ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION  
FOR AN ORDER APPROVING OF  
STIPULATION TO EXCEED PAGE  
LIMITS**

1 Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack (“Plaintiff”) and Defendants Ripple Labs Inc., XRP II,  
 2 LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse (“Defendants”), by and through their respective counsel, hereby  
 3 jointly move for approval of the following stipulation to exceed page limits under Northern District  
 4 of California Local Rule 7-11. The parties have met and conferred and agree that the briefing of  
 5 the issues for class certification would benefit from an increase in page limits. The parties therefore  
 6 jointly request that the Court issue an order approving the stipulation and setting the following  
 7 page limits:

8

- 9 • Memorandum in Support of Plaintiff’s Motion for Class Certification: 35 Pages
- 10 • Defendants’ Opposition to Plaintiff’s Motion for Class Certification: 40 Pages
- 11 • Plaintiff’s Reply in Support of the Motion for Class Certification: 20 Pages

12 **STIPULATION**

13 WHEREAS, on February 23, 2022, the Court filed its “Order re Stipulation to Modify Case  
 14 Schedule” (the “Order”);

15 WHEREAS, pursuant to the Order, Plaintiff’s motion for class certification is due on  
 16 November 18, 2022; Defendants’ opposition to the motion for class certification is due on January  
 17 27, 2023, and Plaintiff’s reply is due on March 24, 2023;

18 WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 7-4(b), the memoranda in support of Plaintiff’s motion  
 19 for class certification and Defendants’ opposition are limited to 25 pages of text, and Plaintiff’s  
 20 reply is limited to 15 pages of text;

21 WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred and agreed that the briefing of the issues  
 22 for class certification would benefit from an increase in page limits; and

23 WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rules 7-4(b) and 7-11, the parties require leave of Court to  
 24 submit briefs that exceed the page limits set forth in Local Rule 7-4.

1                   **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED**, by and  
2 between the undersigned counsel, subject to approval of the Court, that the memorandum in  
3 support of Plaintiff's motion for class certification may not exceed 35 pages, that Defendant's  
4 opposition to Plaintiff's motion may not exceed 40 pages, and Plaintiff's reply in support of the  
5 motion may not exceed 20 pages.

6                   **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

7                   Dated: November 9, 2022

8                   By: /s/ Nicholas N. Spear

9                   James Q. Taylor-Copeland (284743)  
james@taylorcopelandlaw.com  
10                   TAYLOR-COPELAND LAW  
501 W. Broadway, Suite 800  
San Diego, CA 92101  
11                   Telephone: (619) 400-4944  
Facsimile: (619) 566-4341

12                   Marc M. Seltzer (54534)  
mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com  
13                   Steven G. Sklaver (237612)  
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com  
14                   Oleg Elkhunovich (269238)  
oelkhunovich@susmangodfrey.com  
15                   Krysta Kauble Pachman (280951)  
kpachman@susmangodfrey.com  
16                   Nicholas N. Spear (304281)  
nspear@susmangodfrey.com  
17                   SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.  
18                   1900 Avenue of the Stars, 14th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
19                   Telephone: (310) 789-3100  
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150

20                   *Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Bradley Sostack*

21                   Dated: November 9, 2022

22                   By: /s/ Suzanne E. Nero

23                   Damien J. Marshall (*pro hac vice*)  
dmarshall@kslaw.com  
24                   Andrew Michaelson (*pro hac vice*)  
amichaelson@kslaw.com  
25                   KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
26                   Telephone: (212) 556-2100  
Facsimile: (212) 556-2222

1 Suzanne E. Nero (284894)  
2 snero@kslaw.com  
3 KING & SPALDING LLP  
4 50 California Street, Suite 3300  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111  
6 Telephone: (415) 318-1200  
7 Facsimile: (415) 318-1300

8 Andrew J. Ceresney (*pro hac vice*)  
9 aceresney@debevoise.com  
10 DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP  
11 919 Third Avenue  
12 New York, NY 10022  
13 Telephone: (212) 909-6000  
14 Facsimile: (212) 909-6836

15 *Attorneys for Defendants Ripple Labs Inc.,*  
16 *XRP II, LLC, and Bradley Garlinghouse*

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

## **ATTESTATION**

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3) regarding signatures, I attest that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from the other signatories.

DATED: November 9, 2022

/s/ Nicholas N. Spear

Nicholas N. Spear

## **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on November 9, 2022, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the clerk of the Court and served counsel of record via the CM/ECF system.

/s/ Nicholas N. Spear  
Nicholas N. Spear